Office of the Governor

June 8, 2017

The Honorable Barbara Cegavske
Nevada Secretary of State
101 South Carson Street
Carson City, NV 89701

RE: Assembly Bill 382 of the 79th Legislative Session

Dear Secretary of State Cegavske:

I am herewith forwarding to you, for filing within the constitutional time limit and without my approval, Assembly Bill 382 ("AB 382"), which is entitled:

AN ACT relating to health care; requiring certain hospitals, independent centers for emergency medical care and physicians to accept certain rates as payment in full for the provision of emergency services and care to certain patients; providing an exception under certain circumstances; requiring the submission of certain reports relating to policies of health insurance and similar contractual agreements by certain third parties who issue those policies and agreements; requiring certain hospitals and independent centers for emergency medical care to submit reports to the Governor’s Consumer Health Advocate concerning patient debt and rate increases; requiring the Advocate to adopt certain regulations; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

AB 382 attempts to fix a long-standing problem in Nevada, whereby patients receive “surprise” billing for emergency care they received out of their insurance network. There is little doubt that such a problem needs a solution, and AB 382 is not the first attempt at a solution. It is, in many respects, similar to Senate Bill 115 from the 2011 Legislative Session. That bill was vetoed because, among other things, it was “overreaching and interferes with contracting between third party payers, hospitals and health care providers.” Because AB 382 suffers from similar and other shortcomings, I cannot support it.

Surprise billing occurs when patients receive emergency treatment from hospitals and physicians that are not covered by the patient’s insurance. In the heat of an emergency, the patient has little choice in the treatment, and neither do the hospitals or physicians. The care is
delivered as if the patient were “in network.” The patient’s insurance then refuses to fully pay for
the out-of-network treatment, placing the patient and the healthcare provider in a billing dispute.

AB 382 tries to end these disputes by removing the patient from the process. Instead, the
patient’s insurance must make a reasonable offer of payment, and if the healthcare provider
refuses, the dispute goes to binding mediation. There is no limit—high or low—on the amount in
dispute; all disputes are eligible for mediation, and sometimes the costs of mediation will exceed
the amount in dispute.

Out-of-network emergency care is common. There can be thousands of such incidents at a given
hospital in a given year. Should AB 382 become law, hospitals and physicians, who have already
provided services, could be forced to choose between accepting reduced, likely below market
payments or mediate thousands of cases a year. Nevada’s healthcare providers testified that
they should be spending their precious time helping patients, not mediating disputes.

If hospitals or physicians are forced to accept below-market rates for out-of-network care, there
would be no incentive to enter into network contracts, in which healthcare providers and
insurance companies negotiate a contract for in-network care. Such contracts provide value.
The insurance companies know that their customers will be using healthcare services the
companies trust, and the healthcare providers know they will be paid for services rendered.

AB 382 would disrupt this balance and the healthcare market, and force hospitals and physicians
to accept below market payment for their services. This result will likely lead to doctors leaving
Nevada, making the State’s critical doctor shortage even worse. Even some of the legislators
who supported AB 382 expressed worries about the unintended consequences of AB 382 and
concerns about the legislation being the right solution to the problem. They testified that they
needed more time to study the specific proposals in the bill to make sure that they did not create
negative consequences.

Additionally, the risks posed to the Nevada healthcare system, hospitals, and physicians are
why groups like the Nevada State Medical Association, Sunrise Hospital, North Valley Hospital,
the Nevada Rural Hospital Partners, Dignity Health, the Nevada Board of Orthopedists, the
Nevada Association of Osteopathic Physicians, the Nevada Medical Group Management
Association, Renown Health, the Northern Nevada Emergency Physicians, and the Women’s
Health Associates of Southern Nevada opposed the bill. They also joined many individual
physicians who raised serious concerns about AB 382.

AB 382 brings into focus a difficult and timely issue that needs clarity, discussion, and maximum
input from patients, insurers, and healthcare providers. I am considering issuing an Executive
Order that forms a committee of stakeholders to study this issue to bring more informed
recommendations to the 2019 Legislative Session and beyond. Moreover, the Legislature
recently passed for the first time Assembly Joint Resolution No. 14 to amend the Nevada
Constitution to “ensure access to affordable emergency medical care at reasonable rates to all
persons in this State.” Given the prospect of a constitutional imperative to fix the problem of
surprise billing, this committee of stakeholders will provide invaluable input to the discussion.
For these reasons, I veto Assembly Bill 382 and return it without my signature or approval.

Sincere regards,

[Signature]

BRIAN SANDOVAL
Governor
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